UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,880	11/20/2003	Per-Ola Anders Orvendal	MS#303477.01 (5076)	9365
	7590 01/15/201 OWERS LLP (MSFT)	EXAMINER		
100 NORTH B 17TH FLOOR	,	CHANKONG, DOHM		
ST. LOUIS, MO 63102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2452	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/15/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 November 2009</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 \(\text{Claim(s)} \) \(\frac{1-6,8 \) \(\text{10} \) \(\frac{15}{2} \) and \(\frac{22-29}{2} \) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \(Application No.	Applicant(s)			
DOHM CHANKONS 2452 DOHM CHANKONS 2462 Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER. FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. 18 FO period for reply is specified above. the measurem statedory prior to select the specific period for reply is specified above. the measurem statedory prior to select the specific period for reply is specified above. The measurem statedory prior to select the specific period for reply is specified above. The measurem statedory prior to select the specific period for reply is specified above. The measurem statedory prior to select the specific period for reply is specified above. The measurem statedory prior to select the specific period for the period for the specific period for the specific period for the specific period for the period for the specific period for the specific period for the		10/717,880	ORVENDAL ET AL.			
The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address − Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of min ramy for available under the growinces of 37 CFR 1 1360, his one event, however, may any the timely fined. If NO period for rigby is specified above, the maximum altitudory, printed will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Failty to reply received by the fine set or centred period for rigby will, by status. cause the application to second ASARDLOB 12 05 U.S.C. § 1333, Ary stay, received part the making main altitudory, printed will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Failty to reply which the set or centred period for rigby will, by status. cause the application to second ASARDLOB 12 05 U.S.C. § 1333, Ary stay, received by the other later main making the making date of this communication. Failty to reply which the set or communication (s) filled on 04 November 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6.8 and 10 is a received under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6.8 and 10 is a received under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6.8 and 10 is a received line of the proving documents have been received in Application No	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extraction of times rargy to available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.30(a). In or owner, troversur, may a reply be timely filed - Extraction of free rargy to available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.30(a). In or owner, may a reply be timely filed - If No period for raply is specified above, the mixamise under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.30(a). In or owner, may a reply be timely filed - Fairback o reply willin the end or abstended period for raply will by advantage on the provisions. (School North 18 the provision of the communication, explicit the mailing class of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any examined patient bern adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 November 2009. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-6.8.10-15 and 22-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5□ Claim(s) 11-15 and 22-29 is/are rejected. 7□ Claim(s) 11-15 and 22-29 is/are rejected. 7□ Claim(s)is/are objected to. 8□ Claim(s) 1-6.8.10-15 and 22-29 is/are rejected. 7□ Claim(s)is/are objected to. 9□ Claim(s)is/are objected to. 9□ Claim(s)is/are objected to. 10□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)accepted or b)objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)AllblSomeclMoneyor. 15□ Acknowl		DOHM CHANKONG	2452			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Estimation of time may be available under the provision of 30° CR11-13000, in the overth, never, may arely be timely filled. - If NO period for regly is specified above, the maximum altailutory period will apply and vall expires SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. - Failth for regly will print set of createded period for regly will by statuse, cause the application to December 34/NOVED. CBS U.S.C. § 1333. Any next received may be extracted period for regly will by statuse, cause the application to December 34/NOVED. CBS U.S.C. § 1333. Any next received manufactured and the maximum altailutory period will apply and vall expires SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Period of the communication of the						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 November 2009</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,10-15 and 22-29</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,10-15 and 22-29</u> is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) <u>11-15 and 22-29</u> is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6.8.10-15 and 22-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-6.8 and 10 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-15 and 22-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:	Status					
4)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)	Disposition of Claims					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6,8 and 10 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-15 and 22-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 					
		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

DETAILED ACTION

This non-final action is in response to Applicant's request for continued examination which was filed on 11/4/2009. Claims 1, 11, and 22 are amended. Claim 7 is cancelled. Claims 9 and 16-21 were previously cancelled. Accordingly, claims 1-6, 8, 10-15, and 22-29 are presented for further examination.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/4/2009 has been entered.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-6, 8, and 10 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-15 and 22-29 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2452

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- I. CLAIMS 11-15 AND 26-29 ARE REJECTED UNDER 35 U.S.C §103(A) AS BEING UNPATENTABLE OVER *COLSON* ET AL, U.S PATENT NO. 6.708.217 ["*COLSON*"], IN VIEW OF *EGLI* ET AL, U.S. PATENT PUBLICATION NO. 2003|0110234 ["*EGLI*"], IN FURTHER VIEW OF *MONTAGNA* ET AL, U.S. PATENT PUBLICATION NO. 2004|0242322 ["*MONTAGNA*"].

All citations are to *Colson* unless otherwise noted. The following rejections may be overcome and would be in condition for allowance by incorporating two limitations from allowed claim 1 into independent claims 11, 22 and 26. The first limitation is directed to the step of determining a fidelity tag for each content data attribute. The second limitation is directed to the step of selecting a content type attribute having content data with the longest length based on a size restriction of the display.

Claim Interpretation for "single fidelity measure"

The examiner notes that Applicant's specification discusses one example of a fidelity measure as a number value. However, the term "measure" is subject to a variety of interpretations broader than simply a number or a value. For example, a device's profile that contains or describes a device's capabilities may be interpreted as a "single fidelity measure" because the profile "singularly" indicates a total capability of the device. The rejection that follows relies on this interpretation of a "single fidelity measure."

Art Unit: 2452

Claims 11, 26 and 29

As to claim 11, *Colson* as modified by *Egli* and *Montagna* discloses a method for processing a notification, said method comprising:

an interface component to access a data structure representing the notification, said data structure having a plurality of content type fields [Figure 4A «item 410» | column 2 «lines 41-57» where: *Colson* describes the well known feature that packets contain content type identifiers that describe the content types being delivered within the packet], each content type field defining one multimedia component of a plurality of multimedia components of the notification, each of said content type fields having a content data field associated therewith, wherein one of the content type fields has a content data field associated therewith storing non-rendered content data [column 2 «line 50 and 55» | column 7 «lines 45-51» where: each entry of the packet are "to be rendered" by respective devices] relating to the set up of an online game [*Montagna*, 0029, 0053];

a configuration component to determine a single fidelity measure [*Egli*, 0017, 0088, 0092: discussing a client capabilities module that determines a single device profile] of a game console [column 7 «line 21» where : *Colson*'s handheld mobile computer is a gaming device] singularly indicating the total capability of the game console to render the plurality of multimedia components of the notification [*Egli*, 0088: the profile discloses the device's capabilities related to the rendering of multimedia such as screen size, color capabilities, or screen size characters];

a filter component to select one of the content type fields from the data structure accessed by the interface component for processing by the game console based on the fidelity measure

determined by the configuration component, [Egli, 0107: Egli discloses selecting characteristics of the media (notification) for processing based on the device's profile (measure)], wherein the game console receiving the notification executes an application, said application performing an action based on the non-rendered content data associated with the selected content type attribute [column 1 «lines 35-47»], and wherein the game console renders the notification in accordance with the fidelity measure [Egli, 0107].

As noted in the foregoing claim mapping, *Colson* does not expressly disclose that the non-rendered content relates to the set-up of an online game, determining a fidelity measure, or selecting and rendering content type attributes based on the determined measure. However, these features were well known in the art at the time of Applicant's invention as evidenced by and *Montagna* and *Egli*.

As to the first missing feature, *Montagna* discloses non-rendered content relating to the setup of an online game [0029, 0053]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified *Colson*'s system to include *Montagna*'s teachings of including online-game related non-rendered content data. One would have been motivated to modify *Colson* to be useful for gaming applications as taught by *Montagna* [0004].

As to the second and third missing features, *Egli* is directed towards an invention for rendering different content types based on the capabilities of a user device [0028]. *Egli* further teaches calculating a fidelity measure as an indicator of a user device's capability to render content [0088: a device profile represents a single measure of the device's capability] and using this measure as a basis for selecting and rendering the content within the packet [0107].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified *Colson*'s invention to include *Egli*'s fidelity measure and associated functionality. Such a modification is an example of using a known technique (*Egli*'s selection and rendering of content based on a fidelity measure) to improve similar devices (methods, or products) (*Colson*'s notification system) in the same way (selecting the most appropriate content for display on a device based on the device's capabilities). This rationale to combine *Colson* and *Egli* also applies to independent claims 22, 26, and 29.

Claim 12

Colson does disclose defining a filtered data packet [Figure 2 «items 270f, 270c, 270d» | column 9 «lines 17-19»] but does not expressly disclose that the filtered data packet includes the content type attribute and content data attribute. However, Colson does disclose that filtered data content includes the content data and the "document content" from the original packet sent from the server [column 7 «lines 57-62» | column 9 «lines 17-19»]. Colson discloses the use of content-type attribute and content data attribute within data packets [column 2 «lines 35-57»]. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art could have reasonably inferred that Colson's filtered data packet (that is sent to the corresponding devices) comprises the content type and content data attributes from the original data packet

Claim 13

Colson discloses sending the filtered data packet to a data communication network for processing [column 7 «line 57» to column 8 «line 15» where : Colson discloses routing the content (filtered from the original data packet) to the respective devices for rendering].

Art Unit: 2452

Claim 14

Colson discloses effecting the delivery of the filtered data packet via a data communication network to the user device for processing [column 7 «line 57» to column 8 «line 15» where : Colson discloses routing the content (filtered from the original data packet) to the respective devices for rendering].

Claims 17 and 19

As to claims 17 and 19, *Colson* discloses that a data packet comprising a device hint attribute storing a characteristic value representative of a specific user device, said device hint attribute being associated with one of the content type attributes, and wherein selecting one of the content type attributes comprises selecting one of the content type attributes to process based on the determined characteristic of the user device and the characteristic value stored in the device hint attribute [Fig. 3 «items 312, 322, 332, 342» | column 4 «lines 35-41»: *Colson* discloses sending a device identifier that is capable of rendering the device. *Colson*'s device identifier reads on the claimed device hint attribute. The identifier stores the type of the device that can render the content. *Colson* further discloses selecting the appropriate content type based on the type of device].

Claims 15 and 27

As to claims 15 and 27, *Colson* discloses receiving the data packet via a data communication network from a content provider [Figure 2 «items 230, 240» where : *Colson*'s server reads on Applicant's claimed content provider].

Art Unit: 2452

Claim 28

Colson as modified by Egli and Montagna discloses:

defining a filtered data packet including the selected content type attribute and content data attribute associated therewith [see rejection of claim 2]; and

sending the filtered data packet to the data communication network to provide content data formatted for the game console [column 7 «line 57» to column 8 «line 14»].

II. CLAIMS 22-25 ARE REJECTED UNDER 35 U.S.C. §103(A) AS BEING UNPATENTABLE OVER *COLSON* AND *EGLI* AND *MONTAGNA*, IN FURTHER VIEW OF *SMITH* ET AL, U.S. PATENT NO. 6.463.462 ["SMITH"].

Claim 22

As to claim 22, *Colson* discloses a system for processing a notification, said system comprising:

a first memory area to store routing preferences of a user [Smith, Fig. 4 | column 2 «lines 42-45»: routing profiles];

a second memory area to store a single fidelity measure of a game console associated with the user [column 7 «line 21» where : Colson's handheld mobile computer is a gaming device], said fidelity measure indicating the capability of the game console to render the notification [Egli, 0017, 0088];

an alerts service adapted to receive a data packet from a content provider and deliver the received data packet to the game console based on the routing preferences stored in the first memory area [Smith, column 2 «lines 57-59»: routing messages based on the routing profiles], the fidelity measure stored in the second memory area [Egli, 0087, 0088: profile stored at a server], wherein said received data packet includes non-rendered content relating to the set up of

an online game on the game console [*Montagna*, 0029, 0053] and wherein the game console renders the notification in accordance with the fidelity measure [*Egli*, 0107].

Colson as modified by Li and Montagna (combined for the reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 11) does not expressly disclose storing user routing preferences. However, user routing preferences in the context of a multi-content notification system was well known in the art at the time of Applicant's invention. Smith discloses a first memory that stores user routing preferences and delivering data packets based on said routing preferences [Fig. 4 and associated description].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified *Colson* as modified by *Li* and *Montagna* to include *Smith*'s teachings of enabling a user to specify how to route packets that contain different types of content. One would have been motivated to modify *Colson* as *Smith*'s teaching enhances the user's control over which devices should handle certain content types.

Claim 23

As to claim 23, *Colson* as modified by *Egli*, *Montagna*, and *Smith* discloses said first memory area storing an ordered list of the computing devices [Figure 3 «item 302»: the second column of the registry reads on the first memory area | column 8 «lines 15-22»].

Claim 24

As to claim 24, *Colson* as modified by *Egli*, *Montagna*, and *Smith* discloses said second memory area to store the device characteristic identifying a processing capability of the computing devices including one or more of the following: hypertext markup language, text,

graphics, extensible markup language, audio, and video [Figure 3 : the first column reading on the second memory area].

Claim 25

As to claim 25, *Colson* as modified by *Egli*, *Montagna*, and *Smith* discloses the non-rendered content comprises extensible markup language data [column 1 «lines 44-47»].

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DOHM CHANKONG whose telephone number is (571)272-3942. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday [10 am - 6 pm].

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thu Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-6967. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2452

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/DOHM CHANKONG/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2452